

# Mitigation of Diachronic Bias in Fake News Detection Dataset

Taichi Murayama, Shoko Wakamiya, Eiji Aramaki  
(Nara Institute of Science and Technology)

## Overview



Training

Inference

Article in 2014

Article in 2020



President Obama is...  
**Fake**

Mr. Trump is *Who??*

- We call '**Diachronic Bias**', which is a possibility to make incorrect judgments regardless of the veracity of the input sentence, when the lexical information of input articles differs greatly from the text the model was trained on.
- Examining word and label deviation in fake news datasets.
- Validate **the proposed masking methods using Wikidata** to mitigate bias.

## Background

- Increasing the research on building fake news detection models
- Most datasets for training the model consist of fake news diffusion in the real world.
- Fake news on different topics spread at different times.
  - 2013 : President Obama
  - 2016 : the US 2016 election
  - 2020 : COVID-19
- A model trained on the corpus from a specific period has the possibility to make incorrect detection of the veracity of the input when the input includes a new word or knowledge.

Investigate **multiple masking methods to mitigate diachronic bias** and build a robust fake news detection model for out-of-domain data.

## Dataset and the Deviation

### Datasets

Four fake news detection datasets with two labels, real and fake.

- MultiFC<sup>[1]</sup> : Consists of news before 2015
- Horne17<sup>[2]</sup> : Consists of news in the US 2016 election
- Celebrity<sup>[3]</sup> : Consists of celebrity news in 2016, 2017
- Constraint<sup>[4]</sup> : Consists of COVID-19 news

### Deviation of phrase

Investigating the correlation between phrase appearance and labels to examine bias in each fake news dataset  
⇒ Using **Local Mutual Information (LMI)**

$$LMI(w, l) = p(w, l) \cdot \log \left( \frac{p(l|w)}{p(l)} \right)$$

$w$ : phrase,  $l$ : label,  $p(l|w)$ : conditional probability  
 $p(w, l)$ : joint distribution between phrase and label

### Top 10 phrases of the highest correlation

MultiFC -2015					
Real			Fake		
Bigram	LMI	$p(l w)$	Bigram	LMI	$p(l w)$
mitt romney	218	0.69	health care	631	0.64
if you	217	0.70	barack obama	365	0.69
rhode island	190	0.75	president barack	337	0.70
new jersey	177	0.67	scott walker	258	0.81
john mccain	167	0.73	says president	218	0.78
no. 1	128	0.86	care law	185	0.80
voted against	128	0.71	will be	162	0.63
any other	125	0.61	hillary clinton	159	0.67
does not	119	0.71	gov. scott	148	0.72
this year	116	0.75	social security	144	0.68

Horne17 2016					
Real			Fake		
Bigram	LMI	$p(l w)$	Bigram	LMI	$p(l w)$
trump has	112	0.82	donald trump	605	0.42
national security	106	0.88	hillary clinton	440	0.50
would be	104	0.72	i think	292	0.68
people who	92	0.89	united states	258	0.51
transition team	88	1.0	have been	230	0.41
mr. trump	80	0.94	bill clinton	208	0.70
smug style	77	1.0	we are	206	0.56
george w.	76	0.90	hillary clinton's	187	0.58
republican party	76	0.91	president obama	171	0.55
new york	70	0.77	ted cruz	149	0.80

- In MultiFC, ex-president '**barack obama**' has high correlation with fake label, while in Horne17, '**hillary clinton**' and '**donald trump**' have high correlation.
- In particular, real labels tend to be highly correlated with **general terms**, while fake labels tend to be highly correlated with **person names**.

## Examination of Mitigation methods

### Mitigation methods

Investigating multiple masking methods to mitigate diachronic bias and build robust models for out-of-domain data.

- NE Deletion: Remove the word tagged as Named Entity (NE)
- Basic NER: Replace the tagged word NE tag name
- WikiD: Replace the word tagged as PER tag, one of NE tags, public position or occupation tag id in Wikidata  
e.g. Obama = Trump = Q11696
- WikiD+Del: After applying WikiD rule, remove other tagged words
- WikiD+NER: After applying WikiD rule, replace other tagged words NE tag name

Lexicalized	18 states including US UK and Australia request PM Modi to head a task force to stop coronavirus
NE Deletion	18 states including and request PM to head a task force to stop coronavirus
Basic NER	18 states including LOC LOC and LOC request PM PER to head a task force to stop coronavirus
WikiD	18 states including US UK and Australia request PM Q22337580 to head a task force to stop coronavirus
WikiD+Del	18 states including and request PM Q22337580 to head a task force to stop coronavirus
WikiD+NER	18 states including LOC LOC and LOC request PM Q22337580 to head a task force to stop coronavirus

### Experimental setting

Model: BERT\_base  
Data: train 80%, test 20%  
Evaluation: Accuracy

### In-domain results

- No mask achieves the highest score in almost dataset
- There is only a few points difference compared to other masking methods

	MultiFC	Horne17	Celebrity	Constraint
No Mask	<b>0.681</b>	<b>0.746</b>	<b>0.760</b>	0.960
NE Del	0.656	0.706	0.750	0.959
Basic NER	0.659	0.735	0.750	0.950
WikiD	0.675	0.725	0.730	<b>0.967</b>
WikiD+Del	0.660	0.706	0.700	0.959
WikiD+NER	0.660	0.640	0.730	0.957

### Out-domain results

- Most masking methods achieve higher score in many out-of-domain dataset, than No mask.
- NE Deletion and Basic NER** perform higher accuracy in **9 (out of 12)** experimental settings and **WikiD and WikiD+Del** perform higher accuracy in **10 (out of 12)** experimental settings, than No mask.

E.g.	MultiFC	Horne17	Celebrity	Constraint	
MultiFC	No Mask	-	0.706	<b>0.660</b>	0.530
	NE Del	-	0.706	0.590	*0.664
	Basic NER	-	0.725	0.600	*0.680
	WikiD	-	<b>0.746</b>	0.590	* <b>0.689</b>
	WikiD+Del	-	0.725	<b>0.660</b>	*0.669
	WikiD+NER	-	0.632	0.520	*0.667

[1] Isabelle Augenstein, Christina Lioma, Dongsheng Wang, Lucas Chaves Lima, Casper Hansen, Christian Hansen, and Jakob Grue Simonsen. Multifc: A real-world multi-domain dataset for evidence-based fact checking of claims. In Proc. of EMNLP-IJCNLP, pp. 4677-4691, 2019.  
[2] Benjamin Horne and Sibel Adali. This just in: Fake news packs a lot in title, uses simpler, repetitive content in text body, more similar to satire than real news. In Proc. of ICWSM, Vol. 11, 2017.  
[3] Verónica Pérez-Rosas, Bennett Kleinberg, Alexandra Lefevre, and Rada Mihalcea. Automatic detection of fakenews. In Proc. of COLING, pp. 3391-3401, 2018.  
[4] Parth Patwa, Shivam Sharma, Srinivas PYKL, Vineeth Gujtha, Gitanjali Kumari, Md Shad Akhtar, Asif Ekbal, Ami-tava Das. Fighting an infodemic: Covid-19 fake news dataset. arXiv:2011.03327, 2020